

Fig. 1. Molecular structure and numbering scheme.


Fig. 2. Interatomic distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ around the central ion, with their e.s.d.'s.
are coordinated to the Co through $\mathrm{N}(1)$ and $\mathrm{N}(2)$, completing a distorted tetrahedron. The coordination around the Co atom is shown in Fig. 1. All relevant distances and angles are shown in Fig. 2. The two rings
of each organic ligand are planar within one e.s.d. The mean value of the dihedral angle between phenyl and pyrazole rings is $72.95^{\circ}$. In the complex $\left\lfloor\mathrm{Ag}\left(\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{-}\right.\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{N}_{2}\right)_{3} / \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ (Francisco, Mascarenhas \& Lechat, 1979) which contains the same ligand, the value for the dihedral angle is $57.4^{\circ}$. The difference is probably due to the shorter coordination radius of Co which brings the ligand molecules closer together than in the Ag complex causing greater steric hindrance. All other distances and angles agree within $3 \sigma$ with the values found in tris(3,5-dimethyl-1-phenylpyrazole)silver(I) nitrate, and in bis(3,5-dimethyl-1-phenylpyrazole)dinitratocopper(II) (Francisco, Lechat \& Mascarenhas, 1979).

All computer calculations were performed using the Enraf-Nonius Structure Determination Package. The figure was drawn with the ORTEP program (Johnson, 1965).

This work was sponsored by grants from BIDFINEP, CAPES, CNPq and FAPESP.

We thank Dr A. C. Massabni for supplying the samples, suggesting the problem and for discussion of the results.
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#### Abstract

K}\left[\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}\right] . \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, M_{r}=444 \cdot 29\), monoclinic, $P 2_{1}, a=3.789(1), b=18.871$ (6), $c=$ 9.293 (3) $\AA, \beta=101.73$ (2) ${ }^{\circ}, U=650.6 \AA^{3}, Z=2$, $D_{x}=2.27 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}{ }^{-3}, \mu($ Мо $K \alpha)=11.6 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1} . R=$ $5.4 \%$ for 2140 unique reflexions. The structure consists


of $\mathrm{K}^{+}$and linear $\left[\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}\right]^{-}$ions and bipyridyl molecules which are not coordinated to Au .

Introduction. Dothie, Llewellyn, Wardlaw \& Welch (1939) prepared single crystals of a compound which C 1980 International Union of Crystallography
they formulated as $\mathrm{K}\left[\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{bpy})(\mathrm{CN})_{2}\right]$ (bpy $=2,2^{\prime}$ bipyridyl). The reported space group was $C 222_{1}$, with $a=18 \cdot 15, b=3.74, c=18.74 \AA, Z=4$. The very short $b$ axis would constrain the anion to be approximately planar, an unexpected geometry for four-coordinate $A u^{I}$. We therefore decided to undertake a structure determination.

Crystals in the form of colourless needles were obtained by the method of Dothie et al. (1939). They decomposed rapidly under the combined influence of air and X-rays, but were reasonably stable when sealed in glass capillaries. A crystal $0.35 \times 0.2 \times$ 0.15 mm was used to collect a hemisphere of data ( $5<$ $2 \theta<50^{\circ}$ ) on a Stoe four-circle diffractometer with monochromated Mo $K a$ radiation. Three repeatedly monitored check reflexions decreased in intensity by about $25 \%$ during data collection, and other reflexions were scaled accordingly. 4913 reflexions were measured. Orthorhombic cell dimensions $a=$ 18.200 (6), $b=3.789$ (1), $c=18.869$ (6) $\AA$ were obtained by least squares from accurate centring of 24 strong reflexions between $2 \theta=15$ and $27^{\circ}$.

Attempts to solve the structure in C222, were unsuccessful, and the merging $R$ for equivalent reflexions was high ( $12 \%$ after Lp and absorption corrections). The data reduction was repeated in a monoclinic cell, space group $P 2_{1}$, derived from the $C 222_{1}$ cell by the matrix [010/001/0.5-0.5 0 ]. After application of

Table 1. Atomic coordinates $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ and isotropic temperature factors $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Au | $-210(2)$ | 5000 | $-232(1)$ | $46 \dagger$ |
| K | $5380(14)$ | $7418(3)$ | $2488(5)$ | $58 \dagger$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $-599(67)$ | $5990(15)$ | $408(29)$ | $72(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $-160(56)$ | $3990(11)$ | $-1003(23)$ | $48(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $-757(52)$ | $6551(11)$ | $898(21)$ | $62(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $5(53)$ | $3477(10)$ | $-1550(21)$ | $64(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(11)$ | $4152(39)$ | $6360(7)$ | $4416(14)$ | $74(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $3366(39)$ | $6474(7)$ | $5801(14)$ | $52(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $3182(39)$ | $5901(7)$ | $6733(14)$ | $73(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $3784(39)$ | $5215(7)$ | $6280(14)$ | $67(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $4569(39)$ | $5101(7)$ | $4896(14)$ | $78(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $4753(39)$ | $5673(7)$ | $3964(14)$ | $72(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(21)$ | $1540(35)$ | $7653(8)$ | $5066(11)$ | $63(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $2784(35)$ | $7195(8)$ | $6238(11)$ | $53(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $3173(35)$ | $7437(8)$ | $7680(11)$ | $19(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $2317(35)$ | $8137(8)$ | $7950(11)$ | $94(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $1073(35)$ | $8595(8)$ | $6778(11)$ | $95(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $684(35)$ | $8353(8)$ | $5336(11)$ | $83(8)$ |

$\dagger$ Effective isotropic $U$ derived from the following anisotropic temperature factors $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ :

|  | $U_{11}$ | $U_{22}$ | $U_{33}$ | $U_{23}$ | $U_{13}$ | $U_{12}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Au | $59(1)$ | $38(1)$ | $41(1)$ | $3(1)$ | $10(1)$ | $-8(1)$ |
| K | $72(3)$ | $53(3)$ | $50(3)$ | $6(2)$ | $29(3)$ | $-4(3)$ |

The temperature-factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left(U_{11} h^{2} a^{* 2}+\right.$ $\left.\ldots+2 U_{12} h k a^{*} b^{*}\right)$.

Table 2. Bond lengths $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$

| $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.98(4)$ | $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $2.04(4)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $1.16(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $1.10(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $1.45(5)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $176(2)$ | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Au}$ | $175(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Au}$ | $172(3)$ | $\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $119(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $122(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{N}(21)$ | $115(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $126(2)$ |  |  |



Fig. 1. Packing diagram viewed down c. Large open circles represent Au , small open circles K , hatched circles N , and full circles C .

Lp and empirical absorption corrections, averaging equivalent reflexions gave 2154 reflexions, 2142 with $F$ $>4 \sigma(F)$. The merging $R$ for equivalent reflexions was $6 \%$. The Au atom was located by a Patterson synthesis and other atoms were located by successive difference syntheses. Both location and refinement of light atoms proved difficult because of pseudosymmetry generated by the Au atom. The bipyridyl rings were constrained to be rigid hexagons with all bond lengths $1.395 \AA$. If this constraint was removed, rings with unrealistic distortions resulted; the major distortions were in the region of a previously obtained false image of the K atom. In view of the large e.s.d.'s of light-atom bond lengths, the hexagon model is justified (cf. $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{av} .} .1 .39$ $\AA$, bond angles $117-124^{\circ}$ in bpy itself: Merritt \& Schroeder, 1956).

In the final stages of refinement a parameter $x$ was refined to allow for extinction; it refined to 62 (3) $\times$ $10^{-8}$, where $F_{c}$ is multiplied by $1-x F^{2} / \sin \theta$. The final $R$ and $R^{\prime}=\sum w^{1 / 2} \Delta / \sum w^{1 / 2}\left|F_{o}\right|$ were 0.054 . The weighting scheme was $w=1 / \sigma^{2}(F)$. Final atom coordinates are given in Table 1, bond lengths and angles in Table 2. Diagrams of the structure are given in Figs. 1 and 2.*

Discussion. The structure consists of $\mathrm{K}^{+}$and linear $\left[\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}\right]^{-}$ions, and bpy molecules which are not coordinated to Au , contrary to previous assumption.

[^0]

Fig. 2. Coordination about the $\mathrm{K}^{+}$ion. Distances are in $\AA$ (e.s.d.'s $0.04 \AA$ ). Symmetry transformations are: (i) $1+x, y, z$; (ii) $1-x$, $\frac{1}{2}+y,-z ;$ (iii) $-x, \frac{1}{2}+y,-z$.

This reflects the very strong tendency of $A u^{1}$ to twocoordination; no four-coordinate $\mathrm{Au}^{1}$ species has been fully crystallographically characterized, although Cochran, Hart \& Mann (1957) have established a distorted tetrahedral coordination in $\left[\mathrm{Au}\left\{0-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}^{-}\right.\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{AsEt}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}_{2} \mathrm{II}$ (where only $\mathrm{Au}, \mathrm{As}$ and I atoms were located).

The $\left[\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}\right]^{-}$ion is essentially linear, as in $\mathrm{K}\left[\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}\right]$ (Rosenzweig \& Cromer, 1959). The $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ distances are comparable with those in $\mathrm{K}\left[\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}\right][2.12(4), \quad 1.17(20) \AA]$ and in $\left[\mathrm{AuCN}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)\right] \quad[1.85(4), \quad 1.25(4) \quad \AA$; Bellon, Manassero \& Sansoni, 1969], but in all cases the presence of the Au atom makes light-atom bond lengths unreliable.

The $\mathrm{K}^{+}$ion is coordinated by seven N atoms, three from bpy molecules and four from -CN groups, at 2.79 to $3.08 \AA$ (Fig. 2). The bpy molecules are distorted with respect to the free ligand, the dihedral angle between the rings being $24^{\circ}$.
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# trans-Bis(isothiocyanato)tetrakis(pyridin)kobalt(II) 

Von Hans Hartl und Irene Brüdgam<br>Institut für Anorganische und Analytische Chemie der Freien Universität Berlin, Fabeckstrasse 34/36, D-1000 Berlin 33, Bundesrepublik Deutschland

(Eingegangen am 13. Juli 1979; angenommen am 1. Oktober 1979)


#### Abstract

Co}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{4}(\mathrm{NCS})_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{CoN}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{2}, M_{r}=\) 491.5, monoclinic, $C 2 / c, a=12.461(6), \quad b=$ 12.910 (6), $c=16.509$ (8) $\AA, \beta=118.60$ (5) ${ }^{\circ}, V=$ $2331.8 \AA^{3}, Z=4, D_{c}=1.399 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}, \mu($ Мо $K \kappa)=$ $0.95 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$. Co is octahedrally coordinated to the N atoms of four pyridine and two trans-coordinated isothiocyanate ligands. The angle $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CS}$ is $155.9(4)^{\circ}$.


Einleitung. Komplexverbindungen des Typs $M L_{4}(\mathrm{NCS})_{2}$ mit $M=\mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Ni}$ bilden mit einer Reihe von Gastmolekülen Chlathratverbindungen mit zeolithischen Eigenschaften, wenn der Ligand $L$ ein geeignet substituiertes Pyridinmolekül, wie z.B. 4-

Methylpyridin $=$ pic ist (Schaeffer, Dorsey, Skinner \& Christian, 1957; Belitskus, Jeffrey, McMullan \& Stephenson, 1963; Allison \& Barrer, 1969). Die Stammverbindungen mit $L=$ Pyridin $=$ py geben keine Chlathratverbindungen, jedoch konnten davon stöchiometrisch aufgebaute Adduktverbindungen mit Akzeptormolekülen wie Jod und Jodoform dargestellt werden (Hartl \& Steidl, 1977, 1980). Um den Einfluss der Akzeptormoleküle auf die Komplexverbindung, insbesondere auf die Thiocyanatgruppe, besser studieren zu können, wurde die vorliegende Strukturaufklärung durchgeführt.
$\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{py})_{4}(\mathrm{NCS})_{2}$ wurde nach der Vorschrift von Grossman \& Hünseler (1905) hergestellt und aus © 1980 International Union of Crystallography


[^0]:    * A list of structure factors has been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 34793 ( 14 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

